

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C. MMISSICNER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS FO Box 1480 Mexandra, Auguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 462.024	02 03 2000	WERNER RAHMFELD	3245-710PUS	4586

2590 05/20/2003

THOMAS C PONTANI COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE **SUITE 1210** NEW YORK, NY 10176

	A MANGES			
EXA	EXAMINER			
KERNS	, KEVIN P			
ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,024	RAHMFELD, WERNER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  outly (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 March 2003 .	
2a)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	owance except for formal m er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 16</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		···
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the present of the pr</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<b>.</b>
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language parts) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has l	been received.
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 21

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 14 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 14, line 18, "=" should be added after the 2<sup>nd</sup> instance of "L". In claim 14, 6<sup>th</sup> line from the end of the claim, "a" should be added before "strand". In claim 16, 5<sup>th</sup> line, "contours" should be changed to "contour", and "and" should be changed to "an" before "S-shaped". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "mouth region" in claim 11 (step d) is an unclear term which renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear to what location the "mouth region" is referring (the mouth of the nozzle or the mold?).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1725

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Streubel et al. (US 4,811,779) in view of Schrewe (US 4,955,428).

Streubel et al. disclose a mold for the continuous casting of a thin steel strip (of predetermined convexity) that includes a molten central region surrounded by a progressively solidified shell (in the casting direction, as shown by strand shell formation initializing zone 9), in which the mold includes broad side walls (1,2), narrow side walls (3,4) adjustable by screws 8, a pouring tube 10 (immersion nozzle) located therein, coolant channels (5,6,7), such that the mold contains upper (a,a'), middle (b,b'), and lower (c,c') sections (abstract; column 1, lines 6-15; column 2, lines 17-59; column 3, lines 5-52; column 4, lines 1-10; and Figures 1-3). As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the broad side walls (1,2) contain three planar surface portions (including a central portion in Figure 1), with a conically tapering region (section b,b' of Figure 2), in which the planar surfaces (as well as the slab to be cast) are conically tapered (transitional region) in the length section b,b' to a value that is between 40% to 60% of the total length of the

Application/Control Number: 09/462,024

Art Unit: 1725

mold (as given by the length a+b+c, a'+b'+c'), ending in a tip (a+b, a'+b') between the ratios of 0.5 to 0.8 with respect to the total length of the mold (a+b+c, a'+b'+c') and including an angle of slight tapering (less than 5 degrees in Figure 3) as well as a curvilinear (S-shaped) region on the right side of Figure 2 in the vertically descending region (column 3, lines 15-47; and Figures 1-3). Although rollers are present below continuous casting machines beneath the pouring/mold region, Streubel et al. do not disclose the plurality of supporting and guiding rollers, including split rollers, that are located beneath the mold exit region.

However, Schrewe discloses a device and process for continuous casting of slabs with a thickness below 80mm (thin slabs), in which the device includes an immersion nozzle (casting spout and pipe 2) protruding into a laterally adjustable mold 3 with broad and narrow side walls defining the slab 7 to be cast (Figures 2 and 3), a casting container 1 holding a supply of molten metal that forms a slab 7 that partially solidifies into a cast slab with an outer shell and a molten inner region (Figures 1 and 4-6), and a plurality of supporting and guide rollers (8,9) for guiding the slab (Figure 1), in which a plurality of (central and side, including tapering) parallel split rollers (24-26 and 29-31, in Figures 5 and 6), are arranged on a side of the slab, such that the cast slab is subject to forces impinged by the plurality of split rollers, forming a crowned, conically shaped slab surface 27 (abstract; column 1, lines 4-21 and 53-68; column 2, lines 1-57; column 3, lines 3-60; column 4, lines 1-12; and Figures 1-7). Mold 3 (in the area extending below the nozzle 2) has outwardly bulging cross-sections (12,13), in conjunction with side walls 14, establish the casting dimensions (column 3, lines 18-28;

Art Unit: 1725

and Figures 2 and 3). As shown in Figures 5 and 6, crowned shaped slabs are formed by a plurality of adjacent rollers, arranged in a linear, parallel manner and further including tapered, or transitional, (conical) rollers in Figure 6, forming an angle to one another in both figures (column 3, lines 47-60; column 4, lines 1-2; and Figures 5 and 6). The mouth (opening) of the immersion nozzle is within the range of 0.3 to 0.5 of the distance between the mold broad face/side parts, approximately 0.3 as measured to scale (Figures 2 and 3). The plurality of supporting, guiding, and split rollers are advantageous for matching the transition surfaces to subsequently form a crowned, conically shaped slab surface of high quality to a thickness below 80 mm (column 1, lines 53-56; column 2, lines 23-31; column 3, lines 47-60; and column 4, lines 1-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the mold for the continuous casting of a thin steel strip, as disclosed by Streubel et al., by using the plurality of supporting and guiding rollers (including split rollers) that are located beneath the mold exit region, as taught by Schrewe, in order to match the transition surfaces to subsequently form a crowned, conically shaped slab surface of high quality to a slab thickness below 80 mm (Schrewe; column 1, lines 53-56; column 2, lines 23-31; column 3, lines 47-60; and column 4, lines 1-12).

### Response to Arguments

7. The examiner acknowledges the applicant's amendment (paper #19) received by the USPTO on March 31, 2003. The applicant's amendment has overcome prior

Art Unit: 1725

objections to the abstract and claims, although new claim objections have been raised by the applicant's changes to claims 14 and 16 (see paragraph 1 above). The 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections (with the exception of the one in paragraph 3 above) have also been overcome by the applicant's amendment. Claims 11-20 remain under consideration in the application.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,024

Art Unit: 1725

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (703) 305-3472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-6078 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

11/5

kpk

May 15, 2003